

1301 Twelfth Street, Suite 400
Altoona, Pennsylvania 16601

Voice - 814-949-2470
Fax - 814-949-0372
TDD - 711

planning@altoonapa.gov



Michael Halloran
Horace McAnuff
Richard Andrews
Donna Royer
Cory Gehret
Julie Hirschak, Alternate

ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD

Marla Heinz
3938 Fifth Avenue
Altoona, PA 16602

RE: 432 N. 6th Avenue request for special exception, commercial reuse of former commercial building, on premises in a limited residential zone.

Your petitioner appeared personally.

From the uncontradicted testimony presented at the hearing of August 9, 2017 and the Board's view of the subject premises, the Board makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1.

Your petitioner has an ownership interest in the subject property.

2.

Requisite notices were made and the property posted.

3.

Your petitioner uses the subject premises for storage for her antiques and collectible's business.

4.

The premises sit within a limited residential zone.

5.

Premises at one point had been used as a grocery store and, at another time, as a television retail store.



Printed on Recycled Paper

6.

The building contains boarded up plexiglass windows in the front, indicating that the front had at one time been used for display.

7.

The petitioner currently does not utilize the premises for retail, and thus has no customers going in or out, or hours of operation.

8.

Your petitioner presented a demonstrated history of the premises being used for commercial, retail, office, or industrial purposes.

9.

Your petitioner presented evidence that the exterior features of the building demonstrate that it was designed for commercial, retail, office, or industrial purposes.

10.

Your petitioner presented evidence that she has already expended a large sum of money in attempting to have the property rewired for residential use.

11.

The proposed use of the premises is similar to the commercial use for which the building was designed and will have less of an impact than that use, especially on traffic and parking, in that she will be utilizing the property for storage rather than retail. The neighboring property owners were notified of the request before the Zoning Hearing Board and none appeared at the hearing in opposition to the request.

12.

Sufficient parking exists on-site, in that petitioner is solely using the premises for storage and not for retail.

13.

Your petitioner will not have hours of operation or employees. Noise levels and odors should be within normal expected limits.

14.

Your petitioner's use of the premises will not expand more than twenty percent (20%) of the premise's existing height or footprint.

15.

The traffic and deliveries generated by the proposed business will not significantly change the residential nature of the neighborhood.

16.

Your petitioner will not have any signage on the premises.

17.

The Board heard staff recommendations on the requirements set forth in the Ordinance, as well as the request.

From the foregoing testimony, the Board makes the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1.

Your petitioner has met burden of proof to show sufficient grounds exist for the special exceptions set forth in Section 800-49(C) 8.

2.

Your petitioner shall not place any exterior loud speaker systems or other audible signals on the premises or allow the same to be emitted from the premises.

3.

Your petitioner shall not place any signage on the premises.

4.

Your petitioner may solely use the premises for storage and not for retail. Should your petitioner determine she wishes to utilize the property for retail, she will need to request a special exception for the same.

Wherefore the Board makes the following:

DECISION

WHEREFORE, THIS 23rd DAY OF AUGUST, A.D., 2017, THE BOARD GRANTS THE REQUEST OF YOUR PETITIONER, SUBJECT TO THE LIMITATIONS SET FORTH HEREIN.

ANY PERSON AGGRIEVED BY THE DECISION OF THE ZONING HEARING BOARD MAY APPEAL HEREFROM TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, IN THE MANNER SO PROVIDED BY LAW.

YOUR PETITIONER MUST, OF COURSE, MEET ANY AND ALL OTHER CITY, STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND/OR REQUIREMENTS PERTAINING TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, WHICH ARE OUTSIDE THE JURISDICTION OF THE ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD.

ANY AND ALL NECESSARY PERMITS INVOLVED MUST BE SECURED WITHIN SIX (6) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, OR THE AUTHORIZATION SHALL BECOME NULL AND VOID WITHOUT FURTHER ACTION OF THE BOARD.

**THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE
CITY OF ALTOONA,**

Michael Halloran 8-18-17
Michael Halloran, Chairman

Richard Andrews 8/22/17
Richard Andrews

Donna Royer 8-21-17
Donna Royer

Horace McAnuff 8-23-17
Horace McAnuff

Cory Gehret 8/10/17
Cory Gehret

MAILED TO YOUR PETITIONER: August 23, 2017
Date

cc: William J. Stokan, Zoning Board Solicitor
Lee Slusser, Director of Planning
Marilyn Morgan, Planner II, Zoning Office

1301 Twelfth Street, Suite 400
Altoona, Pennsylvania 16601

Voice - 814/949-2470
Fax - 814/949-0372
TDD - 711

planning@altoonapa.gov



Michael Halloran
Horace McAnuff
Richard Andrews
Donna Royer
Cory Gelret
Julie Hirschak, Alternate

ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD

Dazzling Realty, LLC
1600 Broadway
Altoona, PA 16601

RE: 1600 Broadway request for special exception for
off-site parking at 1529/2309 Broadway for a commercial
use at 1600 Broadway in a single household residential zone.

Your petitioner appeared by and through the member, Paul Randazzo, and his attorney, Patrick Fanelli, Esquire. Your petitioner also had a witness, Tim Behe, in support of the request.

From the uncontradicted testimony presented at the hearing of August 9, 2017 and the Board's view of the subject premises, the Board makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1.

Your petitioner has an ownership interest in the subject property.

2.

Requisite notices were made and the property posted.

3.

Your petitioner has continuously utilized his structure known as Mama Randazzo at 1600 Broadway as a restaurant.

4.

Your petitioner had previously made a request for the same special exception in June, 2017, such request having been denied by the Zoning Hearing Board.

5.

Your petitioner has added additional seating to the restaurant.



6.

Your petitioner offered testimony that the beer store on the premises at 1600 Broadway has been eliminated for the additional seating at the restaurant.

7.

Your petitioner testified that his hours of operation for the restaurant located at 1600 Broadway will be 11:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday.

8.

Your petitioner testified that the current parking lots within the vicinity of the premises contain twenty-four (24) spaces.

9.

Your petitioner testified that the proposed lot would add approximately 15 to 20 parking spaces.

10.

Your petitioner testified that a proposed parking lot is within 400 feet of the principal use.

11.

Your petitioner has already demolished the building, which was on the proposed parking lot, thus the installation of the parking lot will not currently necessitate the demolition of a viable and structurally sound building.

12.

Your petitioner and witness, offered testimony that the parking space on the current lots is not adequate for the seating and the restaurant and that patrons often park on the street and in neighbors' yards.

From the foregoing testimony, the Board makes the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1.

Your petitioner has met its burden of proof to show it meets the grounds for a special exception in Section 800-48(C)(9).

2.

The installation of the parking lot at that location of 1529/2309 Broadway, if the

other requirements of this Section are met, will not have a deleterious effect on the overall neighborhood in terms of residential stability and economic development.

3.

Your petitioner shall comply with all requirements of the Planning Code and Altoona Planning Department in the construction of the parking lot.

4.

Your petitioner shall provide a two foot high mound and deciduous and non-deciduous vegetative screening along the two property lines abutting other residential property and on the side of East 24th Avenue.

Wherefore the Board makes the following:

DECISION

WHEREFORE, THIS 23rd DAY OF AUGUST, A.D., 2017, THE BOARD GRANTS THE REQUEST OF YOUR PETITIONER SUBJECT TO THE REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THIS DECISION.

ANY PERSON AGGRIEVED BY THE DECISION OF THE ZONING HEARING BOARD MAY APPEAL HEREFROM TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, IN THE MANNER SO PROVIDED BY LAW.

YOUR PETITIONER MUST, OF COURSE, MEET ANY AND ALL OTHER CITY, STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND/OR REQUIREMENTS PERTAINING TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, WHICH ARE OUTSIDE THE JURISDICTION OF THE ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD.

ANY AND ALL NECESSARY PERMITS INVOLVED MUST BE SECURED WITHIN SIX (6) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, OR THE AUTHORIZATION SHALL BECOME NULL AND VOID WITHOUT FURTHER ACTION OF THE BOARD.

**THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE
CITY OF ALTOONA,**

Michael Halloran 8-18-17
Michael Halloran, Chairman

Richard J. Andrews 8/22/17
Richard Andrews

Donna Royer

8-21-17

Donna Royer

Horace McAnuff

8-23-17

Horace McAnuff

Cory Gehret

Cory Gehret

MAILED TO YOUR PETITIONER: August 23, 2017

Date

cc: William J. Stokan, Zoning Board Solicitor
Lee Slusser, Director of Planning
Marilyn Morgan, Planner II, Zoning Office

1301 Twelfth Street, Suite 400
Altoona, Pennsylvania 16601

Voice - 814-949-2470
Fax - 814-949-0372
TDD - 711

planning@altoonapa.gov



Michael Halloran
Horace McAnuff
Richard Andrews
Donna Royer
Cory Gehret
Julie Hirschak, Alternate

ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD

ARC Federal Credit Union
1919 - 7th Avenue
Altoona, PA 16602
Attention: Stephen Dalecki

RE: 1919 - 7th Avenue request to expand building on premises in
a residential-commercial mixed zone, permitted use
exceeding 5,000 square feet.

Your petitioner appeared by and through its COO, Stephen Dalecki, and Stephanie Shoenfelt of Kelleher Engineers.

From the uncontradicted testimony presented at the hearing of August 9, 2017 and the Board's view of the subject premises, the Board makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1.

Your petitioner has an ownership interest in the property.

2.

Requisite notices were made and the property posted.

3.

Your petitioner has been at the same location for approximately sixty (60) years and is recognized by the general public as being at that location.

4.

Your petitioner presented evidence there are additional Federal government regulations for banking institutions, which requires your petitioner to expand office space and add employees.

5.

Your petitioner will be gaining approximately three (3) employees at the current



Printed on Recycled Paper

location to comply with these requirements, and is in need of additional space for the same.

6.

Your petitioner presented evidence that although losing two (2) parking spaces with the proposed addition, your petitioner will still have more parking spaces than required for the City.

7.

Strict compliance with the Zoning Ordinance will create an undue hardship for your petitioner, in that it cannot meet the Federal government requirements in its current footprint.

From the foregoing testimony, the Board makes the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1.

Your petitioner has met its burden of proof to show it meets the grounds for a variance to Section 800-52(B)(2) (1).

2.

Your petitioner will still need to comply with the requirements of the Planning Department in final construction of the addition.

Wherefore the Board makes the following:

DECISION

WHEREFORE, THIS 23rd DAY OF AUGUST, A.D., 2017, THE BOARD GRANTS THE REQUEST OF YOUR PETITIONER.

ANY PERSON AGGRIEVED BY THE DECISION OF THE ZONING HEARING BOARD MAY APPEAL HEREFROM TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, IN THE MANNER SO PROVIDED BY LAW.

YOUR PETITIONER MUST, OF COURSE, MEET ANY AND ALL OTHER CITY, STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND/OR REQUIREMENTS PERTAINING TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, WHICH ARE OUTSIDE THE JURISDICTION OF THE ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD.

ANY AND ALL NECESSARY PERMITS INVOLVED MUST BE SECURED WITHIN SIX (6) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, OR THE AUTHORIZATION SHALL BECOME NULL AND VOID WITHOUT FURTHER ACTION OF THE BOARD.

THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE
CITY OF ALTOONA,

Michael Halloran 8-18-17
Michael Halloran, Chairman

Richard J. Andrews 8/24/17
Richard Andrews

Donna Royer 8-21-17
Donna Royer

Horace McAnuff 8-23-17
Horace McAnuff

Cory Gehret 8/10/17
Cory Gehret

MAILED TO YOUR PETITIONER: August 23, 2017
Date

cc: William J. Stokan, Zoning Board Solicitor
Lee Slusser, Director of Planning
Marilyn Morgan, Planner II, Zoning Office

1301 Twelfth Street, Suite 400
Altoona, Pennsylvania 16601

Voice - 814/949-2470
Fax - 814/949-0372
TDD - 711

planning@altoonapa.gov



Michael Halloran
Horace McAnuff
Richard Andrews
Donna Royer
Cory Gebret
Julie Hirschak, Alternate

ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD

Jessie Lunger
136 Palmetto Lane
Altoona, PA 16602

RE: 1229 – 17th Avenue request for special exception, student home, on premises in a multiple household residential zone.

Your petitioner appeared personally.

From the uncontradicted testimony presented at the hearing of August 9, 2017 and the Board's view of the subject premises, the Board makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1.

Your petitioner has an ownership interest in the subject property.

2.

Requisite notices were made and the property posted.

3.

The premises has three (3) bedrooms and your petitioner plans to, if granted the special exception, lease to three (3) students.

4.

The premises contain two (2) unpaved parking spaces.

5.

Your petitioner is looking to lease, if the special exception is granted, the premises in Spring, 2018.

6.

No other student home is located on a lot or portion of which is closer than 200 feet.



Printed on Recycled Paper

From the foregoing testimony, the Board makes the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1.

Your petitioner has met her burden of proof to show she meets the grounds for a special exception under Section 800-50(C) 8, pertinent to student housing.

2.

Your petitioner shall comply with Chapter 4, Section 404 of the Applicable Version of the International Property Maintenance Code and any requirements set by the City's Inspection Department.

3.

The parking spaces on the premises shall be paved prior to any student taking possession of the premises.

4.

The premises shall not be occupied or used as such until a zoning permit and residential rental unit license has been issued.

Wherefore the Board makes the following:

DECISION

WHEREFORE, THIS 23RD DAY OF AUGUST, A.D., 2017, THE BOARD GRANTS THE REQUEST OF YOUR PETITIONER FOR STUDENT HOUSING IN A MULTIPLE HOUSEHOLD RESIDENTIAL ZONE, SUBJECT TO THE REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THE CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

ANY PERSON AGGRIEVED BY THE DECISION OF THE ZONING HEARING BOARD MAY APPEAL HEREFROM TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, IN THE MANNER SO PROVIDED BY LAW.

YOUR PETITIONER MUST, OF COURSE, MEET ANY AND ALL OTHER CITY, STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND/OR REQUIREMENTS PERTAINING TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, WHICH ARE OUTSIDE THE JURISDICTION OF THE ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD.

ANY AND ALL NECESSARY PERMITS INVOLVED MUST BE SECURED WITHIN SIX (6) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, OR THE AUTHORIZATION SHALL BECOME NULL AND VOID WITHOUT FURTHER ACTION OF THE BOARD.

THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE
CITY OF ALTOONA,

Michael Halloran 8-18-17
Michael Halloran, Chairman

Richard J. Andrews 8/22/17
Richard Andrews

Donna Royer 8-21-17
Donna Royer

Horace McAnuff 8-23-17
Horace McAnuff

Cory R. Gehret 8/10/17
Cory Gehret

MAILED TO YOUR PETITIONER: August 23, 2017
Date

cc: William J. Stokan, Zoning Board Solicitor
Lee Slusser, Director of Planning
Marilyn Morgan, Planner II, Zoning Office

1301 Twelfth Street, Suite 400
Altoona, Pennsylvania 16601

Voice - 814-949-2470
Fax - 814-949-0372
IDD - 711

planning@altoonapa.gov



Michael Halloran
Horace McAnuff
Richard Andrews
Donna Royer
Cory Gehret
Jude Hirschak, Alternate

ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD

Elizabeth Lee LLC
808 Holliday Hills Drive
Hollidaysburg, PA 16648

RE: 2100-16 Beale Avenue request for special exception,
off-site parking for apartments at 2015 Broad Avenue on
premises in a light industrial zone.

Your petitioner appeared by and through the members, Laura Wray and Glenn Brandimart, and Architect, Patrick Baechle.

From the uncontradicted testimony presented at the hearing of August 9, 2017 and the Board's view of the subject premises, the Board makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1.

Your petitioner has an ownership interest in the subject property.

2.

Requisite notices were made and the property posted.

3.

Your petitioner owns an apartment building at 2015 Broad Avenue, commonly known as "The Elizabeth Apartments".

4.

The current parking lot on the premise at 2015 Broad Avenue is insufficient to provide a space for each apartment in the building.

5.

The proposed off-site lot is within 400 feet of the principal use.



6.

Your petitioner presented evidence that the proposed lot is sufficient to allow full compliance with the land development standards set forth in Chapter 640.

7.

Your petitioner presented evidence that the proposed lot will not allow the principal use to exceed the maximum parking allowances set forth in the land development standards.

8.

Your petitioner seeks to make improvements to the proposed lot, which would enhance the area.

9.

The installation of the proposed parking lot will not have a deleterious effect on the overall neighborhood in terms of residential stability and economic development.

10.

The installation of the parking facility will not necessitate the demolition of a viable and structurally sound building.

From the foregoing testimony, the Board makes the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1.

Your petitioner has met its burden of proof to show it meets the grounds for a special exception to Section 800-56(C)(1), pertinent to off-street parking.

2.

The installation of parking at that location will not have a deleterious effect on the neighborhood.

3.

Your petitioner will still need to comply with all requirements set forth by the City Planning Code in the construction of the parking lot.

Wherefore the Board makes the following:

DECISION

WHEREFORE, THIS 23RD DAY OF AUGUST, A.D., 2017, THE BOARD GRANTS THE REQUEST OF YOUR PETITIONER FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION, OFF-SITE PARKING.

ANY PERSON AGGRIEVED BY THE DECISION OF THE ZONING HEARING BOARD MAY APPEAL HEREFROM TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, IN THE MANNER SO PROVIDED BY LAW.

YOUR PETITIONER MUST, OF COURSE, MEET ANY AND ALL OTHER CITY, STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND/OR REQUIREMENTS PERTAINING TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, WHICH ARE OUTSIDE THE JURISDICTION OF THE ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD.

ANY AND ALL NECESSARY PERMITS INVOLVED MUST BE SECURED WITHIN SIX (6) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, OR THE AUTHORIZATION SHALL BECOME NULL AND VOID WITHOUT FURTHER ACTION OF THE BOARD.

**THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE
CITY OF ALTOONA,**

Michael Halloran 8-18-17
Michael Halloran, Chairman

Richard J. Andrews 8/22/17
Richard Andrews

Donna Royer 8-21-17
Donna Royer

Horace McAnuff 8-23-17
Horace McAnuff

Cory Gehret 8/18/17
Cory Gehret

MAILED TO YOUR PETITIONER: August 23, 2017
Date

cc: William J. Stokan, Zoning Board Solicitor
Lee Slusser, Director of Planning
Marilyn Morgan, Planner II, Zoning Office

1301 Twelfth Street, Suite 400
Altoona, Pennsylvania 16601

Voice - 814-949-2470
Fax - 814/949-0372
TDD - 711

planning@altoonapa.gov



Michael Halloran
Horace McAnuff
Richard Andrews
Donna Royer
Cory Gehret
Julie Hirschak, Alternate

ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD

Marla Heinz
3938 Fifth Avenue
Altoona, PA 16602

RE: 432 N. 6th Avenue request for special exception, commercial reuse of former commercial building, on premises in a limited residential zone.

Your petitioner appeared personally.

From the uncontradicted testimony presented at the hearing of August 9, 2017 and the Board's view of the subject premises, the Board makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1.

Your petitioner has an ownership interest in the subject property.

2.

Requisite notices were made and the property posted.

3.

Your petitioner uses the subject premises for storage for her antiques and collectible's business.

4.

The premises sit within a limited residential zone.

5.

Premises at one point had been used as a grocery store and, at another time, as a television retail store.



Printed on Recycled Paper

6.

The building contains boarded up plexiglass windows in the front, indicating that the front had at one time been used for display.

7.

The petitioner currently does not utilize the premises for retail, and thus has no customers going in or out, or hours of operation.

8.

Your petitioner presented a demonstrated history of the premises being used for commercial, retail, office, or industrial purposes.

9.

Your petitioner presented evidence that the exterior features of the building demonstrate that it was designed for commercial, retail, office, or industrial purposes.

10.

Your petitioner presented evidence that she has already expended a large sum of money in attempting to have the property rewired for residential use.

11.

The proposed use of the premises is similar to the commercial use for which the building was designed and will have less of an impact than that use, especially on traffic and parking, in that she will be utilizing the property for storage rather than retail. The neighboring property owners were notified of the request before the Zoning Hearing Board and none appeared at the hearing in opposition to the request.

12.

Sufficient parking exists on-site, in that petitioner is solely using the premises for storage and not for retail.

13.

Your petitioner will not have hours of operation or employees. Noise levels and odors should be within normal expected limits.

14.

Your petitioner's use of the premises will not expand more than twenty percent (20%) of the premise's existing height or footprint.

15.

The traffic and deliveries generated by the proposed business will not significantly change the residential nature of the neighborhood.

16.

Your petitioner will not have any signage on the premises.

17.

The Board heard staff recommendations on the requirements set forth in the Ordinance, as well as the request.

From the foregoing testimony, the Board makes the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1.

Your petitioner has met burden of proof to show sufficient grounds exist for the special exceptions set forth in Section 800-49(C) 8.

2.

Your petitioner shall not place any exterior loud speaker systems or other audible signals on the premises or allow the same to be emitted from the premises.

3.

Your petitioner shall not place any signage on the premises.

4.

Your petitioner may solely use the premises for storage and not for retail. Should your petitioner determine she wishes to utilize the property for retail, she will need to request a special exception for the same.

Wherefore the Board makes the following:

DECISION

WHEREFORE, THIS 23rd DAY OF AUGUST, A.D., 2017, THE BOARD GRANTS THE REQUEST OF YOUR PETITIONER, SUBJECT TO THE LIMITATIONS SET FORTH HEREIN.

ANY PERSON AGGRIEVED BY THE DECISION OF THE ZONING HEARING BOARD MAY APPEAL HEREFROM TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, IN THE MANNER SO PROVIDED BY LAW.

YOUR PETITIONER MUST, OF COURSE, MEET ANY AND ALL OTHER CITY, STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND/OR REQUIREMENTS PERTAINING TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, WHICH ARE OUTSIDE THE JURISDICTION OF THE ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD.

ANY AND ALL NECESSARY PERMITS INVOLVED MUST BE SECURED WITHIN SIX (6) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, OR THE AUTHORIZATION SHALL BECOME NULL AND VOID WITHOUT FURTHER ACTION OF THE BOARD.

**THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE
CITY OF ALTOONA,**

Michael Halloran 8-18-17
Michael Halloran, Chairman

Richard J. Andrews 8/22/17
Richard Andrews

Donna Royer 8-21-17
Donna Royer

Horace McAnuff 8-23-17
Horace McAnuff

Cory R. Gehret 8/10/17
Cory Gehret

MAILED TO YOUR PETITIONER: August 23, 2017
Date

cc: William J. Stokan, Zoning Board Solicitor
Lee Slusser, Director of Planning
Marilyn Morgan, Planner II, Zoning Office

1301 Twelfth Street, Suite 400
Altoona, Pennsylvania 16601

Voice - 814/949-2470
Fax - 814/949-0372
TDD - 711

planning@altoonapa.gov



Michael Halloran
Horace McAnuff
Richard Andrews
Donna Royer
Cory Gehret
Julie Hirschak, Alternate

ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD

Dazzling Realty, LLC
1600 Broadway
Altoona, PA 16601

RE: 1600 Broadway request for special exception for
off-site parking at 1529/2309 Broadway for a commercial
use at 1600 Broadway in a single household residential zone.

Your petitioner appeared by and through the member, Paul Randazzo, and his attorney, Patrick Fanelli, Esquire. Your petitioner also had a witness, Tim Behe, in support of the request.

From the uncontradicted testimony presented at the hearing of August 9, 2017 and the Board's view of the subject premises, the Board makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1.

Your petitioner has an ownership interest in the subject property.

2.

Requisite notices were made and the property posted.

3.

Your petitioner has continuously utilized his structure known as Mama Randazzo at 1600 Broadway as a restaurant.

4.

Your petitioner had previously made a request for the same special exception in June, 2017, such request having been denied by the Zoning Hearing Board.

5.

Your petitioner has added additional seating to the restaurant.



Printed on Recycled Paper

6.

Your petitioner offered testimony that the beer store on the premises at 1600 Broadway has been eliminated for the additional seating at the restaurant.

7.

Your petitioner testified that his hours of operation for the restaurant located at 1600 Broadway will be 11:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday.

8.

Your petitioner testified that the current parking lots within the vicinity of the premises contain twenty-four (24) spaces.

9.

Your petitioner testified that the proposed lot would add approximately 15 to 20 parking spaces.

10.

Your petitioner testified that a proposed parking lot is within 400 feet of the principal use.

11.

Your petitioner has already demolished the building, which was on the proposed parking lot, thus the installation of the parking lot will not currently necessitate the demolition of a viable and structurally sound building.

12.

Your petitioner and witness, offered testimony that the parking space on the current lots is not adequate for the seating and the restaurant and that patrons often park on the street and in neighbors' yards.

From the foregoing testimony, the Board makes the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1.

Your petitioner has met its burden of proof to show it meets the grounds for a special exception in Section 800-48(C)(9).

2.

The installation of the parking lot at that location of 1529/2309 Broadway, if the

other requirements of this Section are met, will not have a deleterious effect on the overall neighborhood in terms of residential stability and economic development.

3.

Your petitioner shall comply with all requirements of the Planning Code and Altoona Planning Department in the construction of the parking lot.

4.

Your petitioner shall provide a two foot high mound and deciduous and non-deciduous vegetative screening along the two property lines abutting other residential property and on the side of East 24th Avenue.

Wherefore the Board makes the following:

DECISION

WHEREFORE, THIS 23rd DAY OF AUGUST, A.D., 2017, THE BOARD GRANTS THE REQUEST OF YOUR PETITIONER SUBJECT TO THE REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THIS DECISION.

ANY PERSON AGGRIEVED BY THE DECISION OF THE ZONING HEARING BOARD MAY APPEAL HEREFROM TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, IN THE MANNER SO PROVIDED BY LAW.

YOUR PETITIONER MUST, OF COURSE, MEET ANY AND ALL OTHER CITY, STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND/OR REQUIREMENTS PERTAINING TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, WHICH ARE OUTSIDE THE JURISDICTION OF THE ALTOONA ZONING HEARING BOARD.

ANY AND ALL NECESSARY PERMITS INVOLVED MUST BE SECURED WITHIN SIX (6) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, OR THE AUTHORIZATION SHALL BECOME NULL AND VOID WITHOUT FURTHER ACTION OF THE BOARD.

THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE
CITY OF ALTOONA,

Michael Halloran 8-18-17
Michael Halloran, Chairman

Richard J. Andrews 8/22/17
Richard Andrews

Donna Royer

8-21-17

Donna Royer

Horace McAnuff

8-23-17

Horace McAnuff

Cory Gehret

Cory Gehret

MAILED TO YOUR PETITIONER: August 23, 2017
Date

- cc: William J. Stokan, Zoning Board Solicitor
- Lee Slusser, Director of Planning
- Marilyn Morgan, Planner II, Zoning Office